



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,786	11/30/2001	John Hart Lindemann	47058/PAN/C715/CLO155	6113

3017 7590 06/26/2003

BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, LTD.  
101 DYER STREET  
5TH FLOOR  
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

MUNSON, GENE M

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8/2

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/17, 786	J. LINDEMANN ET AL
	Examiner G. Munson	Group Art Unit 2811

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2003

This action is FINAL.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

Claim(s) 1-27, 29-34 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) 30-34 is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1-3, 5-10, 13, 14, 19, 21, 23, 26, 27, 29 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) 4, 11, 12, 15-18, 20, 22, 24, 25 is/are objected to.

Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement

**Application Papers**

The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is  approved  disapproved.

The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)**

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).

All  Some\*  None of the:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received  
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

**Attachment(s)**

<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary, PTO-413
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Office Action Summary**

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The support for these claims is unclear in the specification. Contrary to the response, filed 16 June 2003, page 7, the “conductive portion” (claim 27) and “said implant region laterally isolates said conductive resistor layer” (claim 29) do not read on Figure 20.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10, 13, 19, 21, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 as unpatentable as shown by Flynn *of record*. See Figures 1, 2, 3Q with “photodetector” 20, 30 and “capacitor” 22, 35A, 42, which is coupled between the photodetector “bias terminal” 20 and “ground terminal” 30.

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 6-10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as unpatentable over Flynn. The claimed materials are well known for use as a dielectric in the art, as applicants agree (37 CFR 1.56, MPEP 2144.03), which would have been obvious to use to achieve a capacitor dielectric as in Flynn. PIN photodetectors are also well known, which would have been obvious to use to achieve a photodetector as in Flynn.

The arguments in the response have been considered but are not wholly persuasive, as noted above. Contrary to the response (page 8), claim 1 does not require that a continuous constant bias be applied to the “photodetector bias terminal”.

Claims 30-34 are allowed over the art of record. Claims 4, 11, 12, 15-18, 20, 22, 24 and 25 are objected to as dependent upon rejected claims but would be allowable if claims 4, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20, 22 and 25 were each put in completed form including all limitations of claims 1, 2, 4; 1, 2, 11; 1, 2, 12; 1, 2, 13-15; 1, 18; 19, 20; 19, 22; 19, 24; 19, 25.

This action is **FINAL**.

This action is a **final rejection** and is intended to close the prosecution of this application. Applicant's reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to this action is limited either to an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or to an amendment complying with the requirements set forth below.

If applicant should desire to appeal any rejection made by the examiner, a Notice of Appeal must be filed within the period for reply identifying the rejected claim or claims appealed. The Notice of Appeal must be accompanied by the required appeal fee of appropriate amount

Art Unit: 2811

If applicant should desire to file an amendment, entry of a proposed amendment after final rejection cannot be made as a matter of right unless it merely cancels claims or complies with a formal requirement made earlier. Amendments touching the merits of the application which otherwise might not be proper may be admitted upon a showing a good and sufficient reasons why they are necessary and why they were not presented earlier.

A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection must include the appeal from, or cancellation of, each rejected claim. The filing, whichever is longer, of an amendment after final rejection, whether or not it is entered, does not stop the running of the statutory period for reply to the final rejection unless the examiner holds the claims to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, if a Notice of Appeal has not been filed properly within the period for reply, or any extension of this period obtained under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b), the application will become abandoned.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

G. Munson  
(703) 308-4925 or 0956  
6/23/03

*Gene M. Munson*  
**GENE M. MUNSON**  
**EXAMINER**  
**GROUP ART UNIT 281**